arXiv:1409.2547v2 [math.FA] 13 Apr 2015

Connes-amenability of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras

Volker Runde[*] *Faruk Uygul*

Abstract

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $B(G)$ denote its Fourier–Stieltjes algebra. We show that $B(G)$ is Connes-amenable if and only if G is almost abelian.

Keywords: almost abelian group; Connes-amenability; $\mathcal{C}_\sigma^w$-virtual diagonal; Fourier–Stieltjes algebra.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 46H20; Secondary: 22D05, 22D25, 43A30, 46H25, 46J10, 46J40.

Introduction

Loosely speaking, a *dual Banach algebra* is a Banach algebra that is also a dual Banach space such that multiplication is separately weak[*] continuous. Examples of dual Banach algebras are, for instance, von Neumann algebras and measure algebras of locally compact groups. The name tag "dual Banach algebra" was introduced in [Run01], but the concept is much older. Already in [JKR72], B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, and J. R. Ringrose used them in their development of normal cohomology of von Neumann algebras: they did some of that development in the framework of general dual Banach algebras, noting that this was all they needed from a von Neumann algebra. Indeed, a surprisingly large part of von Neumann algebra theory can be extended to general dual Banach algebras: for instance, they have a rich representation that parallels that of von Neumann algebras, in which reflexive Banach spaces play the rôle of Hilbert spaces ([Daw07]), and in which a version of von Neumann's bicommutant theory holds true ([Daw11]).

In his memoir [Joh72a], B. E. Johnson initiated the theory of amenable Banach algebras, which has been a very active branch of mathematics ever since. It seems, however, that amenability in the sense of [Joh72a] is not very well suited for the study of dual Banach algebras: it appears to be too strong to allow for many interesting examples. For instance, von Neumann algebras are amenable if and only if they are subhomogeneous ([Was76]), and the measure algebra $M(G)$ of a locally compact group G is amenable if and only if G is discrete and amenable ([DGH02]).

[*]Research supported by NSERC.

In his groundbreaking paper [Con76], A. Connes introduced a notion of amenability for von Neumann algebras that takes the dual space structure into account (it was later labeled *Connes-amenability* in his honor by A. Ya. Helemskiĭ in [Hel91]). Connes-amenability is far better suited for the study of von Neumann algebras as it is equivalent to important von Neumann algebraic properties, such as injectivity or hyperfiniteness. Also, for measure algebras it is far better suited: the measure algebra $M(G)$ of a locally compact group G is Connes-amenable if and only if G is amenable ([Run03a]).

The *Fourier–Stieltjes algebra* $B(G)$ of a locally compact group G was introduced by P. Eymard in [Eym64]. It is a dual Banach algebra with natural predual $C^*(G)$, the (full) group C^*-algebra of G. The natural question arises which locally compact groups G have a Connes-amenable Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$. With an eye on the main results of [Run03a] and [FR05], one is led to the conjecture that $B(G)$ is Connes-amenable if and only if G is *almost abelian*, i.e., has an abelian subgroup of finite index (with the "if" part being fairly straightforward; see [Run04, Proposition 3.1]). There has been some circumstantial evidence suggesting that the "only if" part of the conjecture might also be true, meaning that it has been corroborated in certain special cases: it definitely holds true if G is discrete and amenable ([Run04, Theorem 3.5]) or the topological product of a family of finite groups ([Run04, Theorem 3.4]).

In the present paper, we prove this conjecture in full generality.

1 Dual Banach algebras and Connes-amenability

We begin with a formally rigorous definition of a dual Banach algebra:

Definition 1.1. A *dual Banach algebra* is a pair $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ of Banach spaces such that:

(a) $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{A}_*)^*$;

(b) $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach algebra, and multiplication in $\mathfrak{A}$ is separately $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ continuous.

Examples.

1. Every von Neumann algebra is a dual Banach algebra; the predual space $\mathfrak{A}_*$ is then unique ([Tak02, Corollary III.3.9]).

2. Let G be a locally compact group, let $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ denote the continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on G vanishing at ∞, and let $M(G)$ denote the measure algebra of G. Then $(M(G), \mathcal{C}_0(G))$ is a dual Banach algebra.

3. Let G be a locally compact group, let $C^*(G)$ and $C^*_r(G)$ denote the full and the reduced group C^*-algebra of G, respectively, and let $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ be the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra and the reduced Fourier–Stieltjes algebra of G, respectively (as defined in [Eym64]). Then both $(B(G), C^*(G))$ and $(B_r(G), C^*_r(G))$ are dual Banach algebras.

Remarks. 1. The seemingly pedantic formulation of Definition 1.1 is necessary because the predual space $\mathfrak{A}_*$ need not be unique: in [DHSW12], the authors construct a continuum of different preduals of the convolution algebra $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, each of which is isometrically isomorphic to $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$, and each of which turns $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ into a dual Banach algebra.

2. In many cases, as in the examples above, there is a canonical predual; in such cases, we shall not explicitly refer to it and simply call $\mathfrak{A}$ a dual Banach algebra.

Definition 1.2. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra, and let E be a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module. Then we call the left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule E^* *normal* if, for each $\phi \in E^*$, the maps

$$\mathfrak{A} \to E^*, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot \phi$$

is $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$-$\sigma(E^*, E)$ continuous.

Analogously, one defines, for a dual Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, normal right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodules and normal Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodules.

The notion of a normal Banach bimodule over a dual Banach algebra is crucial for the definition of Connes-amenability:

Definition 1.3. A dual Banach algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ is *Connes amenable* if, for every Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule E such that E^* is normal, every $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$-$\sigma(E^*, E)$ continuous derivation $D\colon \mathfrak{A} \to E^*$ is inner.

Remark. In [Con76, Remark 5.33], A. Connes referred to von Neumann algebras satisfying Definition 1.3 as "amenable". In order to tell them apart from amenable von Neumann algebras in the sense of [Joh72a], A. Ya. Helemskiĭ introduced the moniker "Connes-amenable" in [Hel91].

Examples. 1. For von Neumann algebras, Connes-amenability is equivalent to a number of important von Neumann algebraic properties, such as injectivity, semidiscreteness, and hyperfiniteness: see [Tak03] and also [Run02, Chapter 6]. On the other hand, a von Neumann algebra is amenable in the sense of [Joh72a] if and only if it is subhomogeneous ([Was76]).

2. Let G be a locally compact group. Then $M(G)$ is Connes-amenable if and only if G is amenable ([Run03a]) whereas $M(G)$ is amenable in the sense of [Joh72a] if and only if G is *discrete* and amenable ([DGH02]).

These examples suggest that Banach algebraic amenability, as introduced in [Joh72a], is too strong a condition to impose to dual Banach algebras and that Connes-amenability is far better suited for the study of dual Banach algebras.

2 Connes-amenability and diagonal type elements

The following definition is from [Run04]:

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra, and let E be a left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module. An element $x \in E$ is called *left σ-weakly continuous* if the map

$$\mathfrak{A} \to E, \quad a \mapsto a \cdot x$$

is $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$-weakly continuous. We denote the collection of all left σ-weakly continuous elements of E by $\mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E)$.

Remarks. 1. If E is a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module, we define the set $\mathcal{RC}_\sigma^w(E)$ of all *right σ-weakly continuous* elements of E in the obvious way, and if E is a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule, we set $\mathcal{C}_\sigma^w(E) := \mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E) \cap \mathcal{RC}_\sigma^w(E)$ and simply speak of the *σ-weakly continuous* elements of E.

2. It is immediate that $\mathfrak{A}_* \subset \mathcal{C}_\sigma^w(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ for every dual Banach algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$; if $\mathfrak{A}$ has an identity, then $\mathfrak{A}_* = \mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(\mathfrak{A}^*) = \mathcal{RC}_\sigma^w(\mathfrak{A}^*)$ holds.

3. Let E be a non-reflexive Banach space, and turn E^* into a Banach algebra by letting $\phi\psi := 0$ for $\phi, \psi \in E^*$. Then (E^*, E) is trivially a dual Banach algebra without identity, and we have $E \subsetneq E^{**} = \mathcal{C}_\sigma^w(E^{**})$.

The verification of the following is routine (compare [Run04]):

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra, and let E be a left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module. Then:*

(i) *$\mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E)$ is a closed submodule of E;*

(ii) *if F is another left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and $\theta\colon E \to F$ is a bounded homomorphism of left $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, then $\theta(\mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E)) \subset \mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(F)$ holds;*

(iii) *$\mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E)^*$ is a normal right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module;*

(iv) *E^* is a normal right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module if and only if $E = \mathcal{LC}_\sigma^w(E)$.*

Remark. Of course, statements analogous to those of Proposition 2.2 hold for right modules and bimodules.

Following [ER00], we denote the (completed) projective tensor product of Banach spaces by $\otimes^\gamma$. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach algebra, E is a left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module, and F is a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module, then $E \otimes^\gamma F$ is a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule via

$$a \cdot (x \otimes y) := a \cdot x \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad (x \otimes y) \cdot a := x \otimes y \cdot a \qquad (a \in \mathfrak{A},\, x \in E,\, y \in F).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A}$ is a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule in a canonical manner such that the multiplication map

$$\Delta \colon \mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto ab$$

is a bimodule homomorphism. A *virtual diagonal* for $\mathfrak{A}$ is an element $\boldsymbol{D} \in (\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^{**}$ such that

$$a \cdot \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{D} \cdot a \quad \text{and} \quad a\Delta^{**}\boldsymbol{D} = a \qquad (a \in \mathfrak{A})$$

In [Joh72b], B. E. Johnson showed that a Banach algebra is amenable if and only if it has a virtual diagonal.

There is an analogous characterization of Connes-amenable dual Banach algebras in terms of suitable diagonal type elements.

Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra. As $\mathfrak{A}_* \subset \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}^*)$, it follows from (the bimodule analog of) Proposition 2.2(ii) that $\Delta^*\mathfrak{A}_* \subset \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)$, so that $\Delta^{**} \colon (\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^{**} \to \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ induces a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule homomorphism $\Delta^w_\sigma \colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)^* \to \mathfrak{A}$.

We define (see [Run04]):

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra. Then $\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)^*$ is called a *$\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal* for $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ if

$$a \cdot \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{D} \cdot a \quad \text{and} \quad a\Delta^w_\sigma\boldsymbol{D} = a \qquad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

As it turns out, the existence of a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-diagonal characterizes the Connes-amenable dual Banach algebras ([Run04, Theorem 4.8]):

Theorem 2.4. *The following are equivalent for a dual Banach algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$:*

(i) *$(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ is Connes-amenable;*

(ii) *there is a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal for $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$.*

We now look at another class of diagonal type elements for dual Banach algebras. Given a dual Banach algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$, we denote by $\mathcal{B}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$ the separately $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ continuous bilinear maps from $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathbb{C}$; it can be identified with a closed submodule of $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*$. It is clear that $\Delta^*\mathfrak{A}_* \subset \mathcal{B}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$, so that Δ^{**} induces a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule homomorphism $\Delta_\sigma \colon \mathcal{B}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})^* \to \mathfrak{A}$.

The following definition is from [Eff88], where it was formulated for von Neumann algebras:

Definition 2.5. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra. Then $\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathcal{B}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$ is called a *normal, virtual diagonal* for $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ if

$$a \cdot \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{D} \cdot a \quad \text{and} \quad a\Delta_\sigma\boldsymbol{D} = a \qquad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

The corollary below is well known: it was first already proven for von Neumann algebras in [Eff88], and it was observed in [CG98] that the proof carries over to general dual Banach algebras. Still, we give an alternative proof that invokes Theorem 2.4 instead of the definition of Connes-amenability.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach with a normal, virtual diagonal. Then $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ is Connes-amenable.*

Proof. We claim that $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*) \subset \mathcal{B}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$ (with the canonical identifications in place, of course).

Fix $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and define

$$\rho_a \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}, \quad b \mapsto a \otimes b.$$

Then ρ_a is a homomorphism of right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, so that ρ_a^* is a homomorphism of left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-modules and, consequently, $\rho_a^*(\mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*) \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}^*) = \mathfrak{A}_*$ by Proposition 2.2(ii). In view of the definition of ρ_a and the fact that a was arbitrary, this means that every element of $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)$ is weak* continuous in the second variable. Analogously, one sees that the same is true for the first variable.

Hence, if $\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathcal{C}^2_\sigma(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})^*$ is a normal, virtual diagonal for $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$, its restriction to $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)$ is a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal. By Theorem 2.4, this means that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ is Connes-amenable. □

Remark. In [Eff88], it was shown that a von Neumann algebra is Connes-amenable if *and only if* it has a normal, virtual diagonal. The same is true for the measure algebras of locally compact groups ([Run03a] and [Run03b]). However, there are Connes-amenable, dual Banach algebras that fail to have a normal, virtual diagonal ([Run06]; see also [Run15]).

Following again [ER00], we write $\otimes^\lambda$ for the (completed) injective tensor product of Banach spaces. Given a Banach algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, a left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module E, and a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module F, we turn $E^* \otimes^\lambda F^*$ into a Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule via

$$a \cdot (\psi \otimes \phi) := \psi \otimes a \cdot \phi \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi \otimes \phi) \cdot a := \psi \cdot a \otimes \phi \qquad (a \in \mathfrak{A},\, \phi \in E^*,\, \psi \in F^*).$$

For this $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule action, the canonical isometric embedding of $E^* \otimes^\lambda F^*$ into $(E \otimes^\gamma F)^*$ becomes an $\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule homomorphism; we can thus say that $E^* \otimes^\lambda F^*$ "is" a closed submodule of $(E \otimes^\gamma F)^*$.

We shall require the following lemma in the next section:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra, let E be a left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module, and let F be a right Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-module. Suppose that X is a closed right submodule of E^* contained in $\mathcal{RC}^w_\sigma(E^*)$ and that Y is a closed left submodule of F^* contained in $\mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma(F^*)$. Then $X \otimes^\lambda Y$ is a closed sub-bimodule of $(E \otimes^\gamma F)^*$ contained in $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward from the definition of the bimodule action of $\mathfrak{A}$ on $E^* \otimes^\lambda F^*$ that $X \otimes^\lambda Y$ is a closed submodule of $(E \otimes^\gamma F)^*$.

To see that $X \otimes^\lambda Y \subset \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$, fix $\phi \in X$, and define

$$\rho_\phi \colon F^* \to X \otimes^\lambda F^*, \quad \psi \mapsto \phi \otimes \psi.$$

Then ρ_ϕ is a homomorphism of left Banach $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, so that

$$\rho_\phi(Y) \subset \rho_\phi(\mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma(F^*)) \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma(X \otimes^\lambda F^*) \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$$

and, in particular, $\{\phi \otimes \psi : \psi \in Y\} \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$. As $\phi \in X$ was arbitrary, it follows by linearity and continuity that $X \otimes^\lambda Y \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$.

Analogously, we obtain that $X \otimes^\lambda Y \subset \mathcal{RC}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$, so that $X \otimes^\lambda Y \subset \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((E \otimes^\gamma F)^*)$ as claimed. □

We note the following immediate consequence of Lemma 2.7 (which is what we will actually need below):

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_*)$ be a dual Banach algebra. Then $\mathfrak{A}_* \otimes^\lambda \mathfrak{A}_*$ is a closed submodule of $(\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*$ contained in $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((\mathfrak{A} \otimes^\gamma \mathfrak{A})^*)$.*

3 Connes-amenability of $B(G)$

We now turn to characterizing those locally compact groups G for which the Fourier–Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ is Connes amenable. We call G *almost abelian* if it has an abelian subgroup of finite index (other terms to describe this class of groups are "virtually abelian" or "finite-by-abelian"). We shall prove that $B(G)$ is Connes-amenable if and only if G is almost abelian. The method of proof resembles in some ways the one used in [FR05] and [Run08]: from the existence of a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal for $B(G)$, we conclude that a certain map is completely bounded, which is possible only if G is almost abelian. However, in comparison with [FR05] and [Run08], there are considerable technical difficulties to overcome.

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $\otimes_{\max}$ stand for the maximal tensor product of C^*-algebras (see [Tak02]). Then $C^*(G) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$ is the enveloping C^*-algebra of the Banach *-algebra $L^1(G) \otimes^\gamma L^1(G)$. As $L^1(G) \otimes^\gamma L^1(G) \cong L^1(G \times G)$ isometrically isomorphic as Banach *-algebras, this means that $C^*(G) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G) \cong C^*(G \times G)$ canonically as C^*-algebras. Since the identity on the algebraic tensor product $C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G)$ extends to a contraction from $C^*(G) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$ into $C^*(G) \otimes^\lambda C^*(G)$, we have—by virtue of Corollary 2.8—a canonical map $\theta \colon C^*(G \otimes G) \to \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$. Both $C^*(G \times G)$ and $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$ are Banach $B(G)$-bimodules in a canonical way, and it is clear by construction that θ is a $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism.

We summarize:

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then there is a canonical contractive $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism $\theta\colon C^*(G\times G)\to \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$.*

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $W^*(G) := C^*(G)^{**}$. There is a canonical weak* continuous unitary representation $\omega : G \to W^*(G)$, the *universal representation* of G, with the following universal property: for any (WOT continuous) unitary representation π of G on a Hilbert space, there is unique normal *-homomorphism $\rho\colon W^*(G)\to \pi(G)''$ such that $\pi = \rho\circ\omega$. Let G_d stand for G equipped with the discrete topology, and let ω_d denote the universal representation of G_d. Then, by the foregoing, there is a unique normal *-homomorphism $\rho\colon W^*(G_d)\to W^*(G)$ such that $\omega = \rho\circ\omega_d$. (The pre-adjoint of ρ is the canonical inclusion $\iota\colon B(G)\to B(G_d)$; see [Eym64].)

We now turn to the situation of Lemma 3.1. Taking the second adjoint of θ, we obtain a weak*-weak* continuous $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism $\theta^{**} : W^*(G\times G)\to \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^{**}$. We note that that $(G\times G)_d = G_d\times G_d$; for simplicity, we write ω and ω_d for the universal representations of $G\times G$ and $G_d\times G_d$, respectively. By the foregoing, there is a unique normal *-homomorphism $\rho\colon W^*(G_d\times G_d)\to W^*(G\times G)$ such that $\omega = \rho\circ\omega_d$, which is immediately seen to be a $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism. Consequently, $\rho\circ\theta^{**}\colon W^*(G_d\times G_d)\to \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^{**}$ is a weak*-weak* continuous $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism, the pre-adjoint $\Theta\colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*\to B(G_d\times G_d)$ of which is also a $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism.

All in all, we have:

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then there is a canonical contractive $B(G)$-bimodule homomorphism $\Theta\colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*\to B(G_d\times G_d)$ such that*

$$\langle \boldsymbol{X}, \theta^{**}(\omega(x,y))\rangle = \Theta(\boldsymbol{X})(x,y) \qquad (\boldsymbol{X}\in \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*,\ x,y\in G). \tag{1}$$

The equality (1) in Lemma 3.2 is clear from the construction of Θ.

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a locally compact group, let $\boldsymbol{D}\in \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*$ be a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal for $B(G)$, and let $\Theta\colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*\to B(G_d\times G_d)$ be as in Lemma 3.5. Then $\Theta(\boldsymbol{D})\in B(G_d\times G_d)$ is the indicator function of the diagonal subgroup of $G\times G$, i.e.,*

$$G_\Delta := \{(x,x) : x\in G\}.$$

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^* & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & B(G_d\times G_d) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta^w_\sigma} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle f\mapsto f|_{G_\Delta}} \\ B(G) & \xrightarrow{\quad\iota\quad} & B(G_d). \end{array} \tag{2}$$

From (1), we infer that (2) commutes. As $\Delta_\sigma^w \boldsymbol{D} = 1$, we conclude that $\Theta(\boldsymbol{D})|_{G_\Delta} = 1$.

Let $(x, y) \in (G \times G) \setminus G_\Delta$, i.e., $x \neq y$. Choose $f, g \in B(G)$ such that $f(x) = 1 = g(y)$ and $fg = 0$. Then we have

$$\Theta(\boldsymbol{D})(x, y) = (f \cdot \Theta(\boldsymbol{D}) \cdot g)(x, y) = \Theta(f \cdot \boldsymbol{D} \cdot g)(x, y) = \Theta(\boldsymbol{D} \cdot (fg))(x, y) = 0.$$

It follows that $\Theta(\boldsymbol{D})$ vanishes off G_Δ. This completes the proof. □

Let X be a set, and let $\alpha\colon X \to X$ be a map. Define

$$\alpha_*\colon \mathbb{C}^X \to \mathbb{C}^X, \quad f \mapsto f \circ \alpha.$$

We define:

Definition 3.4. Let G be a locally compact group, and let $\alpha\colon G \to G$ be a map. We say that α is $\mathcal{C}_\sigma^w$*-admissible* if:

(a) $\alpha_*(B(G)) \subset B(G)$;

(b) $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_*)^*(\mathcal{C}_\sigma^w((B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)) \subset \mathcal{C}_\sigma^w((B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$.

Remark. The requirement that $\alpha_*(B(G)) \subset B(G)$ forces α to be continuous. Also, α_* is an algebra homomorphism and thus automatically continuous by the classical Gelfand–Rickart theorem. Hence, (b) makes sense.

Example. Let G be a locally compact group. For $f \in B(G)$, define $\check{f} \in B(G)$ through $\check{f}(x) := f(x^{-1})$ for $x \in G$. Define

$$^\vee\colon B(G) \to B(G), \quad f \mapsto \check{f}.$$

This means that $^\vee = \alpha_*$ where $\alpha(x) = x^{-1}$ for $x \in G$. It is well known that $^\vee$ is well defined, i.e., leaves $B(G)$ invariant, and is an isometry ([Eym64]). We claim that $^\vee$ is weak*-weak* continuous. To see this, let B denote the closed unit ball of $B(G)$ and note that $^\vee$ leaves B invariant. As $L^1(G)$ is norm dense in $C^*(G)$, the weak* topologies $\sigma(B(G), C^*(G))$ and $\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ conincide on B. As $^\vee$ is evidently $\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$-$\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^1(G))$ continuous on $B(G)$, we obtain that $^\vee$ is $\sigma(B(G), C^*(G))$-$\sigma(B(G), C^*(G))$ continuous on B, i.e., for each $x \in C^*(G)$, the functional $B(G) \ni f \mapsto \langle x, \check{f}\rangle$ is $\sigma(B(G), C^*(G))$ continuous on B. As consequence of the Krein-Šmulian theorem ([Ped88, 2.5.11. Corollary]), each such functional is continuous on *all* of $B(G)$, which means that $^\vee$ is weak*-weak* continuous as claimed.

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma^w((B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G))^*$, $f \in B(G)$, and $\boldsymbol{x} \in B(G) \otimes^\gamma B(G)$. We then have

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, (\mathrm{id} \otimes {}^\vee)^*(\Phi) \cdot f\rangle = \langle (\mathrm{id} \otimes {}^\vee)(f \cdot \boldsymbol{x}), \Phi\rangle = \langle f \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes {}^\vee)(\boldsymbol{x}), \Phi\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{x}, (\mathrm{id} \otimes {}^\vee)^*(\Phi \cdot f)\rangle \quad (3)$$

and

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, f\cdot(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)^*(\Phi)\rangle = \langle(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)(\boldsymbol{x}\cdot f),\Phi\rangle = \langle(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)(\boldsymbol{x})\cdot\check{f},\Phi\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{x},(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)^*(\check{f}\cdot\Phi)\rangle. \quad (4)$$

From (3), it is clear that

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)^*(\mathcal{RC}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)) \subset \mathcal{RC}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*),$$

and since $^\vee$ is weak*-weak* continuous on $B(G)$, it follows from (4) that

$$(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)^*(\mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)) \subset \mathcal{LC}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$$

as well. All in all, $(\mathrm{id}\otimes\ ^\vee)^*(\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)) \subset \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)$ holds, so that $G\ni x\mapsto x^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-admissible.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a locally compact group, let $\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*$ be a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal for $B(G)$, let $\Theta\colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*\to B(G_d\times G_d)$ be as in Lemma 3.2, and let $\alpha\colon G\to G$ be $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-admissible. Then*

$$\Theta(((\mathrm{id}\otimes\alpha_*)^*|_{\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)})^*)(\boldsymbol{D})\in B(G_d\times G_d)$$

is the indicator function of

$$\{(x,y) : x,y\in G,\ \alpha(y)=x\}.$$

Proof. Let $x,y\in G$. By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\boldsymbol{D})(x,\alpha(y)) &= \langle \boldsymbol{D},\theta^{**}(\omega(x,\alpha(y)))\rangle\rangle\\ &= \langle \boldsymbol{D},(\mathrm{id}\otimes\alpha_*)^{**}(\theta^{**}(\omega(x,y))\rangle\\ &= \langle(\mathrm{id}\otimes\alpha_*)^*(\boldsymbol{D}),(\theta^{**}(\omega(x,y)))\rangle\\ &= \Theta(((\mathrm{id}\otimes\alpha_*)^*|_{\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)})^*)(\boldsymbol{D})(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 3.3, this yields the claim. ∎

The following corollary of Lemma 3.5 is crucial for the proof of our main result (Theorem 3.7 below). For the theory of operator spaces and, in particular, for the notion of a completely bounded map, we refer to the monograph [ER00].

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a locally compact group, let $\boldsymbol{D}\in\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*$ be a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal for $B(G)$, let $\Theta\colon \mathcal{C}^w_\sigma((B(G)\otimes^\gamma B(G))^*)^*\to B(G_d\times G_d)$ be as in Lemma 3.2, and let $\alpha : G\to G$ be $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-admissible and bijective. Then $(\alpha^{-1})_*(B(G_d))\subset B(G_d)$, and $(\alpha^{-1})_*\colon B(G_d)\to B(G_d)$ is completely bounded.*

Proof. As α is bijective, we have that

$$\{(x, y) : x, y \in G, x = \alpha(y)\} = \{(x, \alpha^{-1}(x)) : x \in G\},$$

i.e., is the graph of α^{-1}, which we denote by $\mathfrak{Gr}(\alpha^{-1})$. By Lemma 3.5, the indicator function of $\mathfrak{Gr}(\alpha^{-1})$ lies in $B(G_d \times G_d)$, which, by Host's idempotent theorem ([Hos84]) entails that $\mathfrak{Gr}(\alpha^{-1})$ lies in the *coset ring* of $G_d \times G_d$, i.e., the ring of subsets of $G_d \times G_d$ generated by all cosets of subgroups of $G_d \times G_d$. This, in turn, implies ([IS05, Lemma 1.2]) that $\alpha^{-1} \colon G_d \to G_d$ is a so-called *piecewise affine map* (see [IS05] for the definition). Finally, we conclude from [IS05, Corollary 3.2] that $(\alpha^{-1})_*(B(G_d)) \subset B(G_d)$, and that $(\alpha^{-1})_* \colon B(G_d) \to B(G_d)$ is completely bounded. □

We can now prove the central result of this paper:

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$B(G)$ is Connes-amenable;*

(ii) *$B(G)$ has a normal, virtual diagonal;*

(iii) *G is almost abelian.*

Proof. (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) is [Run04, Proposition 3.1], and (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) is clear by general dual Banach algebra theory (Corollary 2.6).

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that $B(G)$ is Connes-amenable and thus has a $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-virtual diagonal by Theorem 2.4. As $G \ni x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{C}^w_\sigma$-admissible, bijective, and its own inverse, we conclude from Corollary 3.6 that ${}^\vee \colon B(G_d) \to B(G_d)$ is completely bounded. As ${}^\vee$ fixes the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ (see [Eym64] for its definition), and since the inclusion $A(G) \subset B(G_d)$ is a complete isometry, it follows that ${}^\vee \colon A(G) \to A(G)$ is completely bounded as well. By [FR05, Proposition 1.5], this is possible only if G is almost abelian. □

If we replace $B(G)$ in Theorem 3.7 with $B_r(G)$, the corresponding equivalences remain true:

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$B_r(G)$ is Connes-amenable;*

(ii) *$B_r(G)$ has a normal, virtual diagonal;*

(iii) *G is almost abelian.*

Proof. (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): If G has an abelian subgroup of finite index, then G is, in particular, amenable, so that $B_r(G) = B(G)$. Hence, $B_r(G)$ has a normal, virtual diagonal by [Run04, Proposition 3.1].

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) follows, as the corresponding implication in Theorem 3.7, from general dual Banach algebra theory.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii): If $B_r(G)$ is Connes-amenable, it must have an identity ([Run01, Proposition 4.1]). As $B_r(G)$ is a closed ideal of $B(G)$ ([Eym64, (2.16) Proposition], this means that $B_r(G) = B(G)$. The claim then follows from Theorem 3.7. □

Remark. Both Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 show that Connes-amenability is a rather restrictive condition to impose on Fourier–Stieltjes algebras. Comparing the main results of [Rua95] and [FR05], one is led to believe that probably the best notion of amenability to apply to Fourier–Stieltjes algebras should be some hybrid notion of Connes-amenability and *operator amenability*, as introduced by Z.-J. Ruan in [Rua95]. In [RS04], the first-named author and N. Spronk introduced such a hybrid notion—appropriately dubbed *operator Connes-amenability*. In view of [Joh72a], [Rua95], and [Run03a], the natural guess was that, for a locally compact group G, both $B(G)$ and $B_r(G)$ are operator Connes-amenable if and only if G is amenable. This is indeed true for $B_r(G)$; however, $B(\mathbb{F}_2)$, with $\mathbb{F}_2$ being the free group in two generators, is operator Connes-amenable even though $\mathbb{F}_2$ fails to be amenable (see [RS04]).

References

[Con76] A. Connes, Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1, II_∞, III_λ, $\lambda \neq 1$. *Ann. of Math.* **104** (1976), 73–115.

[CG98] G. Corach and J. E. Galé, Averaging with virtual diagonals and geometry of representations. In: E. Albrecht and M. Mathieu (ed.s), *Banach Algebras '97*, pp. 87–100. Walter de Grutyer, 1998.

[DGH02] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and A. Ya. Helemskiĭ, The amenability of measure algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 213–226.

[Daw07] M. Daws, Dual Banach algebras: representations and injectivity. *Studia Math.* **178** (2007), 231–275.

[Daw11] M. Daws, A bicommutant theorem for dual Banach algebras. *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **111A** (2011), 21–28.

[DHSW12] M. Daws, R. Haydon, T. Schlumprecht, and S. White, Shift invariant preduals of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. *Israel J. Math.* **192** (2012), 541–585.

[Eff88] E. G. Effros, Amenability and virtual diagonals for von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **78** (1988), 137–156.

[Eym64] P. Eymard, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **92** (1964), 181–236.

[ER00] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator Spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series) **23**. Clarendon Press, 2000.

[FR05] B. E. Forrest and V. Runde, Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra. *Math. Z.* **250** (2005), 731–744.

[Hel91] A. Ya. Helemskiĭ, Homological essence of amenability in the sense of A. Connes: the injectivity of the predual bimodule (translated from the Russion). *Math. USSR-Sb.* **68** (1991), 555–566.

[Hos84] B. Host, Le théorème des idempotents dans $B(G)$. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **114** (1986), 215–223.

[IS05] M. Ilie and N. Spronk, Completely bounded homomorphisms of the Fourier algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **225** (2005), 480–499.

[Joh72a] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1972).

[Joh72b] B. E. Johnson, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras. *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), 685–698.

[JKR72] B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, and J. R. Ringrose, Cohomology of operator algebras. III. Reduction to normal cohomology. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **100** (1972), 73–96.

[Ped88] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **118**, Springer Verlag, 1988.

[Rua95] Z.-J. Ruan, The operator amenability of $A(G)$. *Amer. J. Math.* **117** (1995), 1449–1474.

[Run01] V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras. *Studia Math.* **148** (2001), 47–66.

[Run02] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1774**, Springer Verlag, 2002.

[Run03a] V. Runde, Connes-amenability and normal, virtual diagonals for measure algebras, I. *J. London Math. Soc.* **67** (2003), 643–656.

[Run03b] V. Runde, Connes-amenability and normal, virtual diagonals for measure algebras, II. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **68** (2003), 325–328.

[Run04] V. Runde, Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonals, and injectivity of the predual bimodule. *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 124–144.

[Run06] V. Runde, A Connes-amenable, dual Banach algebra need not have a normal, virtual diagonal. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 391–402.

[Run08] V. Runde, The amenability constant of the Fourier algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 1473–1481.

[Run15] V. Runde, Erratum to: "A Connes-amenable, dual Banach algebra need not have a normal, virtual diagonal". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 751–754.

[RS04] V. Runde and N. Spronk, Operator amenability of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **136** (2004), 675–686.

[Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, I. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **124**, Springer Verlag, 2002.

[Tak03] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, III. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **127**, Springer Verlag, 2003.

[Was76] S. Wassermann, On tensor products of certain group C^*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal* **23** (1976), 239–254.

[April 14, 2015]

First author'sddress: Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
Canada T6G 2G1

E-mail: vrunde@ualberta.ca

Second author's address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics
College of Arts and Sciences
American University of Sharjah
P.O. Box 26666
Sharjah
United Arab Emirates

E-mail: fuygul@aus.edu